

Operationalizing In-Country Coordination

Goal and Objective

Encourage in-country coordination among donors and multiple domestic and international actors in the NAP process.

Expected outcomes and desired results

1. Improved organization and harmonization of in-country support, minimizing overlaps, enhancing synergies and increased use of existing material (e.g. through sharing information on risks and vulnerabilities)
2. Work towards country-driven holistic framework on resilient development/adaptation (donors should not support conflicting or parallel policy processes)
3. Work from a country-driven holistic framework on resilient development/adaptation (division of labor and distribution of tasks).
4. Explore options for joint financing and implementing adaptation activities

Role of the secretariat

As the NAP Global Network Secretariat, The International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) will provide logistical, administrative and strategic support with input provided by the Steering Committee.

Role of the Steering Committee

The Steering Committee will suggest potential candidate countries for pilot activities, review progress of the activities, identify and provide feedback on strategic opportunities and vision for the in-country coordination element, and review and approve workplans associated with in-country coordination.

Issues for consideration by the Steering Committee

1. **What would better coordination look like at different stages of the NAP process?** Are there aspects of the NAP process that offer obvious entry points for donors to engage together? Are there aspects where we should not?
2. **Suggest criteria for identifying candidate countries for in-country coordination:** USAID and GIZ are already working together in the Eastern Caribbean and Cambodia. With the SC's help, we will

National Adaptation Planning (NAP) Global Network Steering Committee Meeting
March 12-13, 2015 - Frankfurt, Germany

identify at least one more country as a candidate for in-country coordination, in order to demonstrate results in 2015. Additional work will continue in 2016 and beyond.

- 3. Advise on the nature of engagement in countries that are farther along in the NAP process:** GIZ and USAID are exploring collaboration in countries that are in early stages of their processes. The NAP Global Network should also offer support to countries that are further along. Does this mean a discussion among donors on planning and budgeting processes?
- 4. What is the model for engagement – i.e. coordination meetings and joint NAP planning activities – in each country?**

Draft workplan for operationalizing the in-country coordination

The below workplan provides a potential sequence of activities for operationalizing the in-country coordination:

Activity	Description	Entity	Timeline
Approval of workplan	Adopt the proposed workplan for the in-country coordination	NAP Global Network Steering Committee (facilitated by the Secretariat)	First meeting of the Steering Committee - March 2015
Candidate countries for pilot activities	Gather suggestions for candidate countries where pilot activities could take place in 2015	NAP Global Network Steering Committee (facilitated by the Secretariat)	First meeting of the Steering Committee - March 2015
Pilot activities	Carry out pilot activities in 2-3 countries or regions	Donor country members with host countries	April 2015- September 2015
Documentation of lessons learned	Document experiences and lessons learned from pilot activities and create knowledge products	Donor country members & NAP Global Network Secretariat	July – November 2015
Knowledge Sharing	Disseminate lessons learned via the website and at relevant meetings & workshops	Donor country members & NAP Global Network Secretariat	November 2015 - on-going